## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

**NICHOLAS DUPREE** 

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN E. WETZEL, et al.

Defendants.

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 21-1944** 

## **ORDER**

**AND NOW,** this 20th day of December 2021, upon consideration of the Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Doc. No. 9], the Report and Recommendation relating thereto [Doc. No. 38], and Petitioner's Letter of June 24, 2021 [Doc. No. 37], and for the reasons set forth in the Memorandum Opinion accompanying this Order, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation [Doc. No. 38] is **APPROVED AND ADOPTED**;
- 2. The Amended Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus [Doc. No. 9] is **DISMISSED**, without an evidentiary hearing, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 3. Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time [Doc. No. 39] is **DISMISSED** as moot.
- 4. A certificate of appealability will not issue as there is no basis for concluding that "reasonable jurists could debate whether . . . the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (internal citation and quotation omitted).

5. The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** the case.

It is so **ORDERED.** 

**BY THE COURT:** 

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFE, J.